

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD and) DOCKET NO.
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS) 00-0681
)
Petition to Implement Telephone)
Number Conservation and Number)
Pooling in the 815, 618, 309 and)
217 Area Codes.)

Springfield, Illinois
November 28, 2000

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 A.M.

BEFORE:

MR. JOHN ALBERS, Examiner

APPEARANCES:

MR. ANDREW AKEN
1206 Chestnut Street
Murphysboro, Illinois 62966
Email: ajaken@globaleyes.net

(Appearing on behalf of GlobalEyes
Telecommunications, Inc.)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, #084-001662

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

2 MR. EDWARD BUTTS
1800 Hawthorne Lane
3 Room 102
West Chicago, Illinois 60185
4 E-mail: ebutts1000@aol.com

5 (Appearing on behalf of Illinois Bell
Telephone Company, d/b/a Ameritech
6 Illinois)

7 MR. TROY A. FODOR
Douglas G. Brown, P.C.
8 913 South Sixth Street
Springfield, Illinois 62703
9 Email: troyafodor@aol.com

10 (Appearing on behalf of Gridley
Telephone Company)

11 MR. MICHAEL GUERRA
12 Sonnenschien, Nath & Rosenthal
8000 Sears Tower
13 Chicago, Illinois 60606
Email: 5mg.sonnenschien.com

14 (Appearing on behalf of Verizon North,
15 Inc. and Verizon South, Inc. via
16 teleconference)

17 MR. MARK KAMINSKI
Office of Illinois Attorney General
18 100 West Randolph
12th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601
19 Email: mkaminski@atg.state.il.us

20 (Appearing on behalf of the People of
the State of Illinois)

21
22

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

2 MS. LATRICE KIRKLAND
Schiff, Hardin & Waite
3 6600 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606
4 Email: lkirkland@schiffhardin.com

5 (Appearing on behalf of Gabriel
Communications, Inc. via
6 teleconference)

7 MS. KAREN LUSSON
8 Attorney at Law
9 349 South Kensington
LaGrange, Illinois 60525
Email: klusson@citizensutilityboard.org

10 (Appearing on behalf of the Citizens
Utility Board via teleconference)

MR. JOSEPH D. MURPHY
12 MR. DENNIS K. MUNCY
306 West Church Street
13 Champaign, Illinois 61820
Email: jmurphy@meyeracapel.com
14 Email: Dmuncy@meyeracapel.com

15 (Appearing on behalf of Southwestern
Bell Mobile Systems, d/b/a Cellular One,
16 and Illinois Independent Telephone
Association)

MR. THOMAS R. STANTON
18 160 North La Salle Street
Suite C-800
19 Chicago, Illinois 60601
Email: tstanton@icc.state.il.us

21 (Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the
Illinois Commerce Commission via
teleconference)

22

1	I N D E X				
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	(None)				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	EXHIBITS		MARKED		ADMITTED
13	(None)				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 EXAMINER ALBERS: By authority vested in
3 me by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
4 Docket Numbers 00-0677 and 00-0681. Docket Number
5 00-0677 concerns the petition filed by the North
6 American Numbering Plan Administrator on behalf of
7 the telecommunications industry seeking approval of
8 a Numbering Plan Area Relief Planning for the 618
9 area code. Docket Number 00-0681 concerns the
10 petition of the Citizens Utility Board and the
11 people of the State of Illinois seeking to
12 implement telephone number conservation and
13 telephone number pooling in the 815, 618, 309, and
14 217 area codes.

15 I'd like to ask for appearances, and when
16 you do so, please indicate if you have an e-mail
17 address and a fax number, provide that if you do,
18 and also indicate if you're willing to accept
19 service of future documents in these dockets via
20 e-mail. So why don't we begin in Springfield.

21 MR. BUTTS: Edward Butts, 1800 West
22 Hawthorne Lane, Room 102, West Chicago, Illinois

1 60185, telephone 630/562-1515. Fax is
2 630/562-1516. E-mail is ebutts1000@aol.com,
3 appearing on behalf Illinois Bell Telephone
4 Company, doing business as Ameritech Illinois, and,
5 yes, I would accept service by e-mail.

6 I would also like -- if we're going to do
7 it by e-mail, I'd also like Patricia Fleck, who is
8 the Ameritech resource person on number planning,
9 also served by e-mail, and, Patricia, what is your
10 e-mail address?

11 MS. FLECK: It's
12 patricia.fleck@ameritech.
13 com.

14 MR. FODOR: Seated at the table, my name
15 is Troy Fodor. I've not yet intervened on behalf
16 of any of my clients, but I've been advised by
17 Gridley Telephone Company that they were going to
18 intervene in the 0681 docket. I may have other
19 interventions. My business address 913 South Sixth
20 Street, Springfield, Illinois, zip code 62703. My
21 business telephone is area code 217/753-3925. My
22 e-mail address is my full name with no breaks,

1 troyafodor, and Fodor again is F-O-D-O-R, and I'm
2 on America OnLine, so it's @aol.com, and I would
3 accept service by e-mail.

4 EXAMINER ALBERS: Thank you.

5 Before we go any further, if it's a
6 party's intention to intervene in only one of the
7 dockets, please say so.

8 MR. BUTTS: It is troyafodor, one word,
9 and then aol?

10 MR. FODOR: That's correct.

11 MR. BUTTS: F-O-D-A-R.

12 MR. FODOR: O-R.

13 MR. BUTTS: O-R. Very good.

14 MR. MURPHY: Joseph Murphy and Dennis
15 Muncy, 306 West Church Street, Champaign, Illinois
16 61820. Phone is 217/352-0030. My e-mail address
17 is jmurphy@meyercafel.com, and Dennis's e-mail
18 address is dmuncy@meyercafel.com. We would be
19 willing to accept service by e-mail.

20 In Docket Number 0681 we're intervening
21 or we're here on behalf of Southwestern Bell Mobile
22 Systems, d/b/a Cellular One, and the Illinois

1 Independent Telephone Association. In Docket
2 Number 0677 we're here on behalf of Eastern
3 Missouri Cellular Limited Partnership, d/b/a
4 Cellular One.

5 Have I answered all the questions?

6 EXAMINER ALBERS: I think so.

7 MR. AKEN: Andrew Aken. I'm here
8 representing GlobalEyes Telecommunications. That's
9 G-L-O-B-A-L-E-Y-E-S, 1206 Chestnut Street,
10 Murphysboro, Illinois 62966. My telephone number
11 is 618/684-6457. Fax is 618/687-1194. E-mail is
12 ajaken@globaleyes.net, and I would be willing to
13 accept service by e-mail.

14 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. I think that's
15 all the appearances in Springfield. Why don't we
16 go to Chicago now.

17 MR. KAMINSKI: Mark Kaminski of the
18 Illinois Attorney General's Office, 100 West
19 Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601; phone
20 number 312/814-8326; fax number 312/814-3212. My
21 e-mail address is mkaminski@atg.state.il.us,
22 representing the People of the State of Illinois.

1 EXAMINER ALBERS: And are you entering an
2 appearance in both dockets?

3 MR. KAMINSKI: Yes.

4 MR. BUTTS: Mark, will you accept service
5 by e-mail?

6 MR. KAMINSKI: Oh, yeah, I will accept
7 service by e-mail.

8 EXAMINER ALBERS: Mr. Aken, which dockets
9 were you entering an appearance in?

10 MR. AKEN: Potentially both of them.

11 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay.

12 MR. STANTON: Thomas R. Stanton, on
13 behalf of the Staff of the Illinois Commerce
14 Commission, and I'll enter an appearance in both
15 dockets. My address is 160 North La Salle, Suite
16 C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601. My telephone
17 number is (312)793-2877. My fax number is
18 312/793-1566, and my e-mail address is
19 tstanton@icc.state.il.us, and I will accept service
20 electronically, and I would prefer that the parties
21 send the document in Adobe PDF to avoid pagination
22 problems.

1 EXAMINER ALBERS: Good luck.

2 MS. LUSSON: On behalf of the Citizens
3 Utility Board in both dockets, Karen Lusson,
4 L-U-S-S-O-N, 349 South Kensington Avenue, La
5 Grange, Illinois 60525. My phone number is area
6 code 708/579-9656; fax 708/579-9684. My e-mail
7 address is klusson@citizensutilityboard.Org. I
8 would note that it's also klusson@cuboard.org, but
9 either one gets through to the same place, and I
10 also will accept service by e-mail, and I don't
11 know if this conflicts with Tom's, but I would
12 prefer that the documents be in Word format.

13 EXAMINER ALBERS: I don't believe the
14 Commission has any rules on that, so.

15 MS. LUSSON: Okay, because I do have
16 trouble opening documents in Word Perfect, so.

17 Can I ask a question? This I assume, if
18 we're serving the parties by electronic format, I
19 assume then we would not be sending backup hard
20 copies. Is that the idea?

21 EXAMINER ALBERS: I believe that's how
22 the new Part 200 rules on the new revisions to

1 work, yes.

2 MS. LUSSON: Okay.

3 MS. KIRKLAND: On behalf of Gabriel
4 Communications, Inc., Latrice Kirkland of Schiff
5 Hardin and Waite, 6600 Sears Tower, Chicago,
6 Illinois 60606. My phone number is 312/258 -5727,
7 fax is 312/258-5700, and my e-mail address is
8 lkirkland @schiffhardin.com, and we have not yet
9 intervened in either proceeding.

10 EXAMINER ALBERS: But you are entering
11 your appearance in both dockets?

12 MS. KIRKLAND: Yes, I think in case we
13 intervene.

14 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. That's fine. I
15 just want to be clear.

16 Is there anyone else in the Chicago
17 office?

18 MR. GUERRA: Appearing in both dockets on
19 behalf of Verizon North Inc. and Verizon South
20 Inc., Michael Guerra of the law firm of
21 Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal, 8000 Sears Tower,
22 Chicago, Illinois 60606. My phone number is

1 312/876-8170. My fax number is 312/876-7934, and
2 my e-mail address, and I am willing to accept
3 e-mail service, is 5mg@ sonnenschein.com, and
4 Sonnenschein is spelled S-O-N-N-E-N-S-C-H-E-I-N,
5 and I think that's it.

6 EXAMINER ALBERS: And I believe
7 Ms. Wheeler is the only one left.

8 MS. WHEELER: Representing NeuStar Inc.
9 in both dockets, Kimberly Wheeler, W-H-E-E-L-E-R,
10 with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster, 2000
11 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20006.
12 Telephone is 202/887-8750; fax 202/887-0763.
13 E-mail is kwheeler@mofo.com, and I will accept
14 service by e-mail.

15 EXAMINER ALBERS: Are there any others
16 wishing to enter an appearance? Let the record
17 show no response.

18 MR. BUTTS: Mr. Examiner, could we have
19 an e-mail address for Harvey Nelson?

20 MS. NELSON: I think it's hnelson@
21 icc.state.ill --

22 MR. MURPHY: .Il.

1 MR. NELSON: .il.us.

2 MR. BUTT: Okay. Thanks.

3 MS. LUSSON: I would also ask that --

4 EXAMINER ALBERS: Please identify
5 yourself when you're speaking. Go ahead.

6 MS. LUSSON: I'm sorry. I accidentally hit
7 my hold button. This is Karen Lusson.

8 I would also ask that the parties serve
9 Seamus Glynn by e-mail. His e-mail address is
10 glynn@citizensutilityboard.org.

11 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. It sounds like
12 everyone is willing to accept service by e-mail.
13 Correct me if I'm wrong, and it sounds like
14 everyone but Mr. Fodor entered an appearance in
15 both dockets. I'll take the silence as agreement
16 with that.

17 MR. MURPHY: Although I entered
18 appearances for different clients in each docket.

19 EXAMINER ALBERS: Right.

20 Okay. These two dockets have not been
21 consolidated and are merely being called at the
22 same time today because of similarity and overlap

1 of issues.

2 Does anyone have any preliminary matters?

3 It doesn't sound like it.

4 I have a few, and that concerns the
5 petitions to intervene that I've received so far.
6 In Docket 00-0677 I've received petitions to
7 intervene from the People of the State of Illinois,
8 Verizon North Inc. and Verizon South Inc., and
9 Citizens Telecommunications Company of Illinois.
10 Should I have received any others?

11 MR. BUTTS: Mine.

12 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay.

13 MS. LUSSON: Mr. Examiner, this is Karen
14 Lusson from CUB. I'm told by our paralegal that
15 ours is being filed today in the 0677 docket.

16 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. And also before
17 the hearing this morning I received a petition to
18 intervene of Illinois Bell Telephone Company.

19 Are there any objections to any of those
20 petitions to intervene? Hearing none, then they
21 are all granted.

22 And in Docket 00-0681 I have received

1 petitions to intervene from Verizon North Inc.,
2 Verizon South Inc., Illinois Independent Telephone
3 Association, and, again, from Illinois Bell
4 Telephone Company this morning. Any objection to
5 any of those petitions to intervene? Hearing none,
6 then all those petitions to intervene are granted.

7 The purpose of this prehearing conference
8 is to figure out what the course of these two
9 dockets should take.

10 Prior to going on the record Ms. Lusson
11 of CUB indicated her desire to file petitions to
12 consolidate or motion to consolidate rather these
13 two dockets as well as Docket Number 00-0475 which
14 concerns area code relief in the 815 area code.
15 Ms. Lusson, why don't I turn this over to you, if
16 you'd like to address your motion.

17 MS. LUSSON: Yes. Thanks, Mr. Examiner.

18 It's CUB's intention to this Thursday
19 file a motion to consolidate the CUB/AG petition
20 docket, 00-0681 with two other area code release
21 dockets that are now pending with the Commission,
22 and those dockets are 00-0677 and 00-0475. It's

1 our belief that consolidating these three dockets
2 would be the most efficient way of handling all the
3 issues that are raised by CUB's petition and the
4 petitions filed by NeuStar for area code relief in
5 the 618 and 815 area code.

6 And, again, we believe that the issues
7 and the facts that will be raised in this case are
8 identical, and there's precedent for consolidating
9 these dockets, as evidenced by the 97-0192 /97-0211
10 dockets which address the implementation of number
11 pooling and conservation and area code relief in
12 the 847 docket along with the implementation of
13 conservation and pooling in four other Chicago area
14 dockets, even though those four other area code
15 dockets' relief plans were not a part of that
16 proceeding.

17 And so based on the comments that were
18 mentioned off the record before this hearing began,
19 we would propose that our motion be filed this
20 Thursday, December 14th [sic]. Responses would be
21 due three weeks later, which would put the
22 responses at -- to be due --

1 EXAMINER ALBERS: Ms. Lusson, I think you
2 might have misspoke. You said this Thursday,
3 December 14th.

4 MS. LUSSON: I'm sorry, yes; Thursday,
5 November 30th.

6 EXAMINER ALBERS: Off the record.
7 (Whereupon at this point in
8 the proceedings an
9 off-the-record discussion
10 transpired.)

11 EXAMINER ALBERS: All right. Back on the
12 record. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

13 MS. LUSSON: The responses will be due on
14 -- then that would put responses due on Thursday,
15 December 21st, and let's see. Then I would propose
16 replies be due --

17 MR. BUTTS: Give yourself a break, Karen.

18 MS. LUSSON: Yeah, not on December 28th,
19 that's for sure. Let's see. Thursday, January 5th
20 -- I'm sorry; Friday, January 5th.

21 EXAMINER ALBERS: My first thought on
22 that schedule, you had also indicated earlier that

1 direct testimony from NeuStar is to be submitted on
2 January 17th in Docket 00-0475. It might be a
3 little easier for me to prepare a ruling on the
4 motion if I received your reply, you know, a little
5 before January 5th.

6 MS. LUSSEN: Okay. Oh, okay. Let's see.

7 EXAMINER ALBERS: I mean earlier that
8 week or that --

9 MS. LUSSEN: Yeah. Tuesday, January 2nd?

10 EXAMINER ALBERS: That's fine.

11 Does anybody want to respond on the
12 record to that? Actually, first let me ask, I
13 assume this will be a joint motion from CUB and the
14 AG?

15 MR. KAMINSKI: Yes, that's correct.

16 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay.

17 MR. MURPHY: This is Joe Murphy.

18 Obviously, any party who receives their motion can
19 respond as they see fit. I can say on behalf of
20 Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, we think that
21 there is a great logistic difficulty in
22 consolidating these dockets because unlike the

1 Chicago area dockets that all dealt with the same
2 basic geographic area and the same basic companies,
3 these area codes are not even contiguous, 815 and
4 618. The two other area codes that are part of
5 CUB's docket are not subject to relief plans, and
6 there are different carriers in each docket. I
7 guess I would question -- Ms. Lusson indicated
8 earlier that she intended to file her motions to
9 consolidate on all carriers in the State of
10 Illinois, and I think that's appropriate. I would
11 question, are you intending to send along with your
12 motion the petitions in the three dockets because
13 most of the companies who will get them won't have
14 any basis of knowing the other -- you know, which
15 dockets are there. I'm not sure whether they were
16 served or not with the petitions themselves.

17 MS. LUSSON: Well, I don't know. I think
18 that's a little burdensome. This docket is -- I
19 mean unless I'm instructed to, the CUB's petition
20 docket was filed with the Clerk's Office.
21 Municipalities I know that are affected, would be
22 affected, I think have been served. I don't think

1 there's -- I think if a company receives a document
2 and reference is made to a specific docket number
3 and a petition, I think it's incumbent upon that
4 individual to contact the Clerk's Office or go on
5 the web and get that pleading. I'm not sure that
6 -- it seems to me a little burdensome for me to
7 have to attach a petition and then even what? Then
8 NeuStar's petition too? I mean I think that's a
9 little unwieldy.

10 MR. MURPHY: Well, I guess I think that
11 indicates how unwieldy the consolidation is, but
12 you're going to be asking these parties to respond
13 to consolidate three cases to which many of these
14 parties won't even -- or many of these companies
15 won't even be parties to any one of the three and
16 perhaps only one of the three. I mean whatever the
17 Hearing Examiner wants to direct.

18 EXAMINER ALBERS: Well, I guess are you
19 asking for a ruling as far as they should have to
20 include the petitions?

21 MR. MURPHY: I think it would be helpful
22 to the companies who are receiving the motion to

1 consolidate to know what the cases they're being
2 asked to consolidate are about. To that extent, I
3 think it would be appropriate to include the
4 petitions.

5 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. Well, I think as
6 long as you clearly indicate, you know, obviously
7 which dockets you're seeking to consolidate,
8 include the title of the docket, I don't think it's
9 necessary to include a copy of the petitions with
10 the motion. It may be helpful to include a
11 reference to the Commission's website and where
12 those petitions can be found on the website, and so
13 that's all I have to say about that.

14 So it looks like -- is everyone okay with
15 that schedule that Ms. Lusson proposed? I don't
16 hear any objections then.

17 The other docket then that's mine, the
18 0677 one, then as we indicated off the record, I
19 presume it's still the intent to just let that
20 docket set for awhile until we figure out the
21 consolidation issues, and, Ms. Wheeler, you're
22 comfortable with doing that?

1 MS. WHEELER: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

2 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. I guess in your
3 petition you indicated that area code exhaust was
4 anticipated to occur in the third quarter of 2001.
5 Whatever we do, you know, once we figure out the
6 consolidation issue, I imagine we'll be picking up
7 the pace pretty quickly then, just to make sure we
8 have something in place prior to that time, so as
9 long as the parties are all aware of that.

10 MR. BUTTS: A question from Ed Butts to
11 NeuStar. Would there be a problem filing your
12 initial testimony in the 0677 docket on the 17th or
13 sometime soon thereafter as well to get that --

14 MS. WHEELER: No, there should be no
15 problem with that. Our initial prefiled testimony
16 will be -- will track the initial petition that we
17 filed.

18 MR. BUTTS: I would suggest that, if we
19 could, we have that testimony filed on or about the
20 17th as well because then at the next status
21 conference the parties would be in a better
22 position to say what happens next and what they

1 need and what time they need.

2 EXAMINER ALBERS: That sounds like a good
3 idea.

4 MR. BUTTS: If nobody objects.

5 EXAMINER ALBERS: Any objection to that?
6 Okay. Hearing none, can you have that testimony
7 available on January 17th?

8 MS. WHEELER: Yes, I can.

9 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay.

10 MS. LUSSON: This is Karen Lusson again.
11 Mr. Examiner, I don't know if this makes a
12 difference in terms of scheduling the next status
13 after the filing of this testimony, but the next
14 status in the 815 docket or I should say the 0475
15 docket which affects the 815 NPA is January 25th,
16 so I don't know.

17 EXAMINER ALBERS: Well, do we want to try
18 to have a status hearing then around the same time
19 or the same day at least?

20 MR. MURPHY: Well, that status hearing is
21 going to be in Chicago.

22 MR. BUTTS: Yeah. I'm not sure I want to

1 make that kind of a trip.

2 MR. MURPHY: We can charter a bus and
3 come down here afterwards.

4 EXAMINER ALBERS: All right. Off the
5 record.

6 (Whereupon at this point in
7 the proceedings an
8 off-the-record discussion
9 transpired.)

10 EXAMINER ALBERS: Back on the record.

11 Well, it appears that after NeuStar files
12 it direct testimony on January 17th in Docket
13 00-0677, it would be most efficient to have the
14 next status hearing in this on January 25th at
15 10:30. If the motion to consolidate is granted,
16 obviously the appropriate rulings will be sent out,
17 and the location of a hearing on the 25th will be
18 provided as well. For now, however, the parties
19 should assume the hearing will be in Springfield in
20 these two dockets.

21 Anything else to add to that?

22 MR. MURPHY: And, if necessary, that

1 there will be a phone hookup so that they'll occur
2 coincidentally?

3 EXAMINER ALBERS: Yes.

4 MR. MURPHY: With Docket 0475?

5 EXAMINER ALBERS: Yes.

6 Anything else?

7 MR. STANTON: Mr. Hearing Examiner, this
8 is Tom Stanton in Illinois. In light of NeuStar
9 filing testimony on the 17th, we would request that
10 the Hearing Examiner allow discovery to commence.

11 EXAMINER ALBERS: Any objection from
12 anyone? Okay. Hearing none, that's fine with me.
13 Then let the discovery commence.

14 I also want to note though, I neglected
15 to mention this earlier, are all the attorneys who
16 entered an appearance licensed in Illinois?

17 MS. WHEELER: This is Kimberly Wheeler.

18 I'm not.

19 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay. Ever been
20 granted leave to appear before the Commission on
21 prior occasions?

22 MS. WHEELER: Yes, I have.

1 EXAMINER ALBERS: Any objection to
2 granting leave in these dockets? Hearing none,
3 then leave is granted.

4 What state are you licensed to practice
5 in?

6 MS. WHEELER: Maryland and Washington,
7 D.C.

8 EXAMINER ALBERS: Okay.

9 All right then, the schedule for the
10 motion to consolidate from CUB and the AG's office
11 will be in place, as it was provided, with the CUB
12 reply date being January 2nd at my request, and
13 NeuStar's direct testimony will be received January
14 17th. Discovery will commence forthwith, and we'll
15 continue both of these matters to 10:30 on January
16 25th in Springfield.

17 (Whereupon the case was
18 continued to January 25,
19 2001, at 10:30 a.m. in
20 Springfield, Illinois.)

21

22

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)SS
2 COUNTY OF SANGAMON)

3 CASE NO.: 00-0681

4 TITLE: CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD AND
 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS
5
6
7

8
9 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

10 I, Cheryl A. Davis, do hereby certify that I
11 am a court reporter contracted by Sullivan
12 Reporting Company of Chicago, Illinois; that I
13 reported in shorthand the evidence taken and
14 proceedings had on the hearing on the
15 above-entitled case on the 28th day of November,
16 2000; that the foregoing 27 pages are a true and
17 correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken
18 as aforesaid and contain all of the proceedings
19 directed by the Commission or other persons
20 authorized by it to conduct the said hearing to be
21 so stenographically reported.
22

 Dated at Springfield, Illinois, on this 1st
day of December, A.D., 2000.

17
18
19 Certified Shorthand Reporter
 License No. 084-001662
20
21
22